he Regents of the University of California UC Merced 2020 Project

Addendum No. 1 to Request for Qualifications # UCM20200414 - Issued May 28, 2014

RFQ Question and Answer Matrix #2 (Issued on July 3, 2014)

(Questions Submitted between June 6, 2014 and June 17, 2014)

No.	Issue	RFQ Section No.	Question/Comment	Regents Response
57.	Definition of Major Non- Equity Member	Part A, Definitions and Acronyms + Forms C + Form D	The definition of Major Non-Equity Member was revised in Addendum #1 such that team members who hold an equity interest in Respondent are no longer excluded from the definition. Therefore, it is our understanding that an Equity Member who is also, for example, a member of the Lead Contractor, will be considered both as an Equity Member as well as a Major Non-Equity Member. Please confirm that an entity which qualifies as a Major Non-Equity Member shall be considered as such even in case such entity qualifies as an Equity Member as well. In respect to the Role of Firm on Respondent Team as requested to be specified in forms C and D, please confirm whether such entity is entitled to check the box twice or both Equity Member and Major Non-Equity Member, or alternatively is required to submit each form twice (once for each role in Respondent team).	An entity may be considered a Major Non-Equity Member as well as an Equity Member. For such entity, boxes for both Equity Member and Major Non-Equity Member may be checked on the same Form C or Form D.
58.	Format	Part A, Section 5.2	The RFQ dictates that Respondents must prepare the SOQs using a minimum of eleven-point font size. Given the page limitations on some of the forms, would it be acceptable to use a font size of tenpoint font size for the forms?	The Regents will not make the requested change.
59.	Format	Part A, Section 5.2	In response to RFI #28 regarding the length of financial statements, the Regents changed the number of copies of financial information (Volume 2) to 8 (original and 7 copies). However, given that our firms' financial information is confidential and will therefore be	Please see clarification language in Addendum No. 2.

No.	Issue	RFQ Section No.	Question/Comment	Regents Response
			provided within Volume 4, please confirm that it is acceptable to provide 8 (original and 7 copies) of Volume 4 as well. We propose 13 copies of Volume 1 and 3 and 8 copies of Volumes 2 and 4 be required.	
60.	Clarification of Format of Electronic Submission	Part A, Section 5 – SOQ Content ad Submittal Requirements. Item 5.2(b) on page A-21	The RFQ asks for us to submit a standard, unlocked Excel workbook containing all Forms F, F-1 and G. Form G requires a signature. Please confirm that the signature will only be needed on the printed and PDF version of the Form, and that the Excel file can be left unsigned.	Confirmed.
61.	Technical Pass/Fail – Lead Contractor	Part A, Section 6.2.3.(1)(c)	The Regents' response to the third part of question #20 in RFQ Question and Answer Matrix #1 (Issued on May 28, 2014), which referred to the Pass/Fail criteria for the Lead Contractor as elaborated in section 6.2.3.(1)(c)(ii), which provided a reference to the last paragraph of section 6.2.3.(1)(b), according to which, with respect to the Architectural Team's demonstrated experience, at least one of the projects meeting the requirements must have reached substantial completion of construction, and all others must have reached completion of design. Please confirm, that, a project which is currently under construction and is more that 50% complete, can meet the requirement specified in section 6.2.3.(1)(c)(ii) with respect to Construction of at least one	A project that is more than 50% complete but less than substantially complete does not qualify as a
			master planned infrastructure project, including thermal energy delivery systems, of at least 30 acres.	substantially completed project for the purposes of Pa A, Section 6.2.3(1)(c)(ii).
62.	Clarification of Volume 2 Other Submittals	Part B Volume 2 Section G – Other Submittals, item 4 on PageB-14	Section G4 requires Form C for Respondent, each Equity Member and each Financially Responsibly party. Please confirm whether by "Respondent," we are to include Non-Equity Members' Form C herein.	Major Non-Equity Members' Form C's need not be included.
63.	Clarification of Key Personnel Qualifications	Part B, Volume 3 Section C.1(a) and (b) on page B-20	Part B, Volume 3, Section C.1 (a) and (b) states that the Project Executive and Project Manager may be the same person. Please confirm if by combining the Project Executive with the Project Manager whether the Project Executive's qualifications per (a) supersede the Project Manager's qualifications per (b).	If the same individual is being proposed for both roles, such individual must meet the requirements of both (a) and (b).

No.	Issue	RFQ Section No.	Question/Comment	Regents Response
64.	Key Personnel Qualifications – Project Manager	Part B, Volume 3, Section C (b)	The Project Manager qualifications currently require this Key Personnel to have worked on a minimum of two projects in higher education, at least one being valued at \$75 million or more, in the past ten years. The Respondent requests that this be changed to allow for education projects of any level, not just higher education.	The Regents will not make the requested change.
65.	Forms	Part C, Form E	The blank Form E takes up almost two pages with no information filled in, two and half pages if the footnotes are included, which would only leave one page for images. Given this, in order to provide adequate information to substantiate the relevancy of each project, we ask that the four-page limitation only apply to the written response and the "up to two pages of illustrations" be in addition to the four-page limit (resulting in a six-page limit).	Please see revised language in Addendum No. 2.
66.	Forms	Part C, Form E, Form F, Form F-1	Are Respondents allowed to delete the "notes" section of Forms E, F, and F-1? These notes take up anywhere from ½ to ¾ of a page and given page constraints, particularly on Form E, it would provide a significant amount of space for us to adequately respond.	Respondents may not delete the footnotes contained in Forms E, F, and F-1. Please see clarification language in Addendum No. 2 that the footnotes will not count toward the specified page limit for Form E. Page limits are not specified for Forms F and F-1.
67.	Clarification of Due Date	Part C, Forms E, F and F-1	The SOQ due date on Forms E, F and F-1 state the SOQ due date as June 26, 2014. Please confirm that the due date is July 17, 2014 as listed elsewhere in the RFQ.	Please see revised language in Addendum No. 2.
68.	Clarification of References	Part C, Form F and Form F-1	Please clarify if the Owner reference information in Form F footnote (1) is the same information requested in Form F-1 Company Name, Name of Reference, Name of Reference's Employer, Email address, etc.	Please see revised language in Addendum No. 2 (see, in particular, Part B, Volume 2, Section E.3 and Forms F and F-1).
69.	Forms	Part C, Form H	Form H states that Respondents are able to include "up to two pages of illustrations to illustrate each project." Are Respondents able to provide up to two pages of illustrations for each project included in the resume, or up to two pages for all projects included in the resume?	Please see revised language in Addendum No. 2.
70.	Forms	Part C, Form H	The blank Form H is three pages long with the pages required for project information taking up two pages. If we submit a resume for	Please see revised language in Addendum No. 2.

No.	Issue	RFQ Section No.	Question/Comment	Regents Response
			someone and highlight the maximum three projects, the form will be 7 pages long with no pages left for pictures. We ask that the seven-page limit only apply to the written response and the "up to two pages of illustrations to illustrate each project" be in addition to the seven-page limit (resulting in a nine-page limit).	